

## Comparison

### Test Results From a Customer in Florida

**QUICKMOP** vs microfiber press wring bucket vs conventional mop, bucket & wringer

**Saving:** Time, Chemical Use, Cost Analyzing and Microfiber Mop Usage

**Test period:** 6 weeks comparison study - 10/16/06 - 11/24/06 – Sarasota, Florida area

#### Five Testing Locations - 13650 total sq ft

1 . two story office building - lobby & halls	polished granite slab	3800 sq ft
2 . attorneys office	18" glazed textured ceramic tile	2850 sq ft
3 . hair salon	no wax sheet vinyl (worn)	1700 sq ft
4 . pool supply store	finished VCT	2500 sq ft
5 . garage door installer	unfinished worn VCT	2200 sq ft

Conventional Mop Bucket/Ringer	Time to Mop Only Minutes	Water used	Chemical used (oz.conc)	# of Mops used
1	55	16 gals	16	-
2	52	8 gals	8	-
3	35	8 gals	8	-
4	36	8 gals	8	-
5	48	12 gals	12	-
<b>Totals</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>52 gals</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>-</b>

#### Press Wring Flat System

1	47	1 gal	1	8
2	37	"	1	6
3	24	"	1	6
4	30	"	1	5
5	37	"	1	10
<b>Totals</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>5 gals</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>35</b>

#### QUICKMOP System

1	21	.5 gal	.5	3
2	18	.5 gal	.5	2
3	15	.25 gal	.25	3
4	15	.25gal	.25	2
5	18	.5 gal	.5	4
<b>Totals</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>2 gals</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>

## Conclusion

**-QUICKMOP** was 62% faster than conventional Mop Bucket/Wringer and 51% faster than press wring bucket (additional uncalculated time savings – filling emptying and moving buckets and wringers)

**-QUICKMOP** used 60% fewer microfiber flat mops(made in germany) than press wring flat mop(made in china)

**-QUICKMOP** used 96% less chemical and water than conventional mop bucket & wringer & 60% less than press wring bucket flat system press wring bucket